

ERRATA SHEET ITEM NO. 15

TENTATIVE ORDER NO. R9-2002-0020 NPDES NO. CAG679001

GENERAL WASTE DISCHARGE REQUIREMENTS FOR DISCHARGES OF HYDROSTATIC TEST WATER AND POTABLE WATER TO SURFACE WATERS AND STORM DRAINS OR OTHER CONVEYANCE SYSTEMS

SAN DIEGO REGION

The following changes are proposed to be made to the tentative Order No. R9-2002-0020 that was sent out to interested parties on June 3, 2002 and is currently under review. The changes/corrections are shown below in bold and underline/~~strikeout~~ format to indicate added and removed language, respectively.

CHANGES TO THE FACT SHEET

1. The following correction to the Fact Sheet, *General Description, B*, on page 1 has been made:

“Riverside County’s main water suppliers are Eastern and Western Municipal Water District, both member agencies of MWD. The Municipal Water District of Orange County supplies the part of Orange County that is located in the San Diego Region, and is also a member agency of MWD. ~~and Orange County’s main water suppliers are Eastern and Western Municipal Water District, respectively, who are both member agencies of MWD.~~”

2. The following corrections to the Fact Sheet, *Discharge Description, C*, on page 4 have been made:

“A review of the last four years of self-monitoring reports submitted under Order No. 96-41 has shown that individual discharges from potable water well production have ~~has~~ been under 500,000 GPD.”

3. The following corrections to the Fact Sheet, *Authority, E*, on page 6 have been made:

“For the purpose of this Order, ~~D~~dechlorination is not considered to be a ‘treatment system’., ~~t~~Therefore, it does not conflict with the rating.”

4. The following corrections to the Fact Sheet, *Basis for Waste Discharge Requirements and Effluent Limitations*, G, on page 7 have been made:

“1. the discharge is directly into a MS4 storm drain ~~OR~~ **or** the discharge is directly into a **dry/seasonal** ~~blue-line~~ stream (**blue-line** streams shown on USGS topographic maps), creek, river, etc. but will percolate and/or evaporate prior to reaching any receiving water **that is present downstream;**”

5. The following corrections to the Fact Sheet, *Policy for Implementation of Toxics Standards for Inland Surface Waters, Enclosed Bays, and Estuaries of California*, H, on page 10 have been made:

“A reasonable potential analysis of the data was performed, which required ambient (**receiving water**) concentrations **of Total Suspended Solids (TSS), hardness, pH, and the 126 Priority Pollutants.** ~~of the receiving water.~~ **Receiving water data is required for comparison with the effluent data to evaluate if reasonable potential exists for the effluent to exceed any water quality criteria specified in the CTR. Values for TSS, hardness, and pH of the receiving water are not necessary when performing a reasonable potential analysis for discharges into a salt-water body. This is due to the fact that increasing hardness has the effect of decreasing the toxicity of metals. It was assumed that the majority of the discharges would be into freshwater water bodies.** ~~Discharges of potable water can occur throughout the San Diego Region, therefore three~~ **Three** reasonable potential analyses were performed using the following low, medium, and high values to represent **freshwater** ambient conditions **throughout the San Diego Region:**

- TSS = 5, 15, 30 mg/L (minimum and maximum limit for Publicly Owned Treatment Works are 5 mg/L and 30 mg/L, respectively);
- Hardness = 75, 150, 300 mg/L (CaCO₃ concentration, representing soft, moderate, and hard water);
- pH = 6, 8, 9 (water quality objectives [see *Basin Plan*] for bays and estuaries is 7-9 pH units, inland surface waters 6.5-9 pH units); and
- **The 126** ~~All remaining p~~**Priority p**~~Priority p~~**Pollutants** were assumed to be ‘non-detect’ in the receiving water. “

CHANGES TO THE TENTATIVE ORDER

6. The following corrections to the tentative Order, Finding 12, on page 2 and to *Application Requirements, E*, on page 9 have been made:

“.... the discharge is directly into a MS4 storm drain² ~~OR~~ **or** the discharge is directly into a **dry/seasonal blue-line** stream (**blue-line** streams shown on USGS topographic maps), creek, river, etc. but will percolate and/or evaporate prior to reaching any receiving water **that is present downstream**;...”

7. The following corrections to the tentative Order, Finding 14, on page 3 have been made:

“14. The Regional Board encourages dischargers and MS4 owners/operators within a common watershed to coordinate their water quality protection activities.”

8. The following corrections to the tentative Order, Finding 25, on page 4 have been made:

“The data was evaluated **pursuant to** ~~by utilizing~~ the Implementation Policy.”

9. The following corrections to the tentative Order, *Application Requirements, E*, on page 8 have been made:

“2. A report for each project (**any discharge listed in Eligibility, D.1**), proposed over the next....”

10. The following corrections to the tentative Order, *Provisioins, F*, on page 11 have been made:

“14. Practical Quantitation Level (PQL) is the lowest concentration of a substance which can be consistently determined within +/- 20 % of the true concentration by 75 % of the labs tested in a performance evaluation study. Alternatively, if performance data are not available, the PQL for carcinogens is the MDL x 5, and for non-carcinogens is the MDL x 10.”

11. The following corrections to the tentative Order, *Reporting Requirements, G*, on page 11 have been made:

“ 3. Enrollees that propose to discharge potable water which does not contain more than 50% MWDs water are required to either submit the necessary data needed to perform a reasonable potential analysis (see Attachment F of the Order) or submit the information required under Section 5.3 of the Implementation Policy to be considered for an exemption. “

12. The following corrections to the tentative Order, *Reporting Requirements, G*, on page 13 have been made:

“13. The Enrollee shall submit written notification of the termination of the discharge **for each project** to the Regional Board within 30 days of termination of the discharge.

14. The Enrollee shall submit a written request to terminate enrollment under this Order. A statement has to be included with the request in which the Enrollee certifies that all discharges were terminated.

15. The Enrollee shall submit reports required under this Order to:”

13. The following corrections to the tentative Order, *Emergencies, H*, on page 13 have been made:

“...During an emergency, BMPs shall be implemented, whenever feasible, to **prevent the discharge of pollutants into the receiving waters.** ~~reduce pollutants possibly contained in the discharge to reach receiving waters.~~ The BMPs should include source control BMPs to minimize contact between pollutants and flow (e.g. rerouting of flow to prevent erosion, which can lead to sedimentation in discharge) as well as treatment control BMPs to remove pollutants present in the discharged water before it enters the storm drain system. Implementation of BMPs shall not interfere with necessary repair operations or impact public health and safety. A copy of the BMPs addressing emergencies has to be submitted to the Regional Board, **if requested.** ~~no later than 180 days after the Enrollment Letter is issued.”~~

CHANGES TO THE MONITORING AND REPORTING PROGRAM

14. The following correction to the tentative Monitoring and Reporting Program, *Monitoring and Reporting Schedule and Frequency, C*, on page M-2 has been made:

“The monitoring report shall be submitted by the 15th of the second month following the reporting period:

Monitoring Reporting Period	Monitoring Report Due
January - March	May 15
April - June	August 15
July - September	November 15 *
October - December	February 15

*** The due date for the July – September 2002 Monitoring Report is February 15, 2003 (see *Provisions, F*, of this MRP, page M-4).**

15. The following corrections to the tentative Monitoring and Reporting Program, *Monitoring and Reporting Schedule and Frequency, C*, on page M-3 have been made:

“.....

- The affected receiving water(s);
- A description of the proposed **dechlorination method** ~~treatment system~~ (if appropriate); and ...”